

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 BRYAN EDWARD HIYAS,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 TRANSUNION, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:24-cv-00388-APG-BNW

**Order Accepting Report and  
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 11]

8 On May 31, 2024, Magistrate Judge Weksler recommended that I dismiss this case  
9 without prejudice because plaintiff Bryan Hiyas did not file an amended complaint by the given  
10 deadline. ECF No. 11. Hiyas did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo  
11 review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to  
12 “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to  
13 which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)  
14 (en banc) (“the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations  
15 de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Weksler’s report and recommendation  
17 (ECF No. 11) is accepted and this case is dismissed without prejudice. The clerk of court is  
18 instructed to close this case.

19 DATED this 20th day of June, 2024.

20 

21 ANDREW P. GORDON  
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
23